



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/511,271	10/20/2004	Tatsuya Inokuchi	257211US6X PCT	5018	
22850	7590 04/13/2006		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.		, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.	JONES, CRYSTAL L		
1940 DUKE ALEXANDI	RIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2627 DATE MAILED: 04/13/2006		
	,				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•		10/511,271	INOKUCHI ET AL.	M			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	-	Crystal Jones	2627				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app			-			
Period fo	• •						
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/20/2004.						
′=	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	:х рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 4:	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
-	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	alaction requirement					
0)[Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	siection requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.					
10)⊠	10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 October 2004</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	taminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P10-15	۷.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document)-(d) or (f).				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicat	ion No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage	9			
* /	application from the International Bureau		- J				
- \	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	∶ 0.				
Attachmen		A) 🖂 Jatanian Guarra	(/PTO 413)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/511,271

Art Unit: 2627

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-6, drawn to a recording medium of figures 5-13, classified in Class 369, subclass 275.1.

Group II, claim(s) 7-19, drawn to a recording apparatus/method of figures 1, 3, and 14, classified in Class 369, subclass 59.24.

Group III, claim(s) 20-25, drawn to a reproducing apparatus/method of figures 2, 4, and 15, classified in Class 369, subclass 53.21.

- 2. The inventions listed as Groups II and III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the recording apparatus/method is drawn to a combination of special technical features including recording previously encoded data whereas the reproducing apparatus/method is drawn to the combination of special technical features including reading previously encoded data, decoding read data, error correcting decoded data, decrypting key data, and decrypting read data previously encrypted.
 - 3. Invention Group I drawn to a recording medium has a common special technical

feature to that of each of Groups II and III. Hence, Group I will be examined with either one of Groups II or III that is elected for examination. In the event Group I as currently claimed is allowed, both of Groups II and III will be examined.

4. A telephone call was made to Surinder Sachar on April 6, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 2627

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Crystal Jones whose telephone number is 571-272-2849. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 6 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wayne Young can be reached on 571-272-7582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER